Rev: 9 April 90 FMEA NO.: 05-25W-00017 SUBSYSTEM: CROUND STATION - MSBLS CRIT. FUNC: 1R ASSEMBLY : PRI Shelter HDW: 2 CRIT. : 517070 P/N 102 103 104 105 VEHICLE Х X Х EFFECTIVITY: QUANTITY: 1 m x Ls LO ∞ PHASE(S) PL REDUNDANCY SCREEN: A-pass B-pass C-pass

PREPARED BY:
DES: Warne Durante
REL: Y 17. 11.1
QE:

APPROVED BY:
DES: KNEW TO THE REL:
QE: KNEW GET

APPROVED BY (NASA):
DES: Really of war Transing

TIEM: PRI Shelter

FUNCTION: Monitors PRI AZ/IME/EL subsystem for proper operation; generates alarm and causes autoswitch to B/U Shelter if any RF output is lost or is erroneous.

FAITURE MODE: All PRI guidance RF outputs are operating properly, but FM/BITE fails in a way indicating erroneous RF output, causing alarm and MSBIS autoswitch to the B/U Shelter.

CAUSE(S): A PRI Shelter IRU fails due to piece part electrical failure. The IRU's which can cause this failure mode (05-25W-00017) are listed below, with IRU Designator No., IRU P/N, and IRU Name:

IRU No.:	LRU P/N:	IRU Name:
119	513480	El Digital Unit
150	502333	Field Monitor Circuits, Elevation
160	501825	Power Supply
250	516994	El Waveguide Assy
322	517076	Panel, Entrance, Signal
324	517079	Cables, Interconn, Interior (inside Shelter)
325	517081	Harness, Rack
430	517082	Control Monitor
519	513479	Az Digital Unit
550	502332	Field Monitor Circuits, Azimuth/DME
580	502146	DME Unit
640	502541	Az Waveguide Assy
705	502544	DME Waveguide Assy
710	517080	Cables, Intercorn, External (to/from Shelter)

EFFECT(S): (A) SUBSYSTEM (B) INTERFACES (C) MISSION (D) CREW/VEHICLE

(A/B) RF guidance from the PRI Shelter is immediately (within one second) replaced by RF guidance from the B/U Shelter. However, redundancy has been lost, and the next failure (if in B/U Shelter) will cause loss of good RF guidance.

SUBSYSTEM: GROUND STATION - MSBLS FMEA NO.: 05-25W-00017 Rev: 9 April 90 SUP: 17 Oct 88

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No effect beyond momentary (less than one second) loss of RF guidance signals at the Orbiter. Possible loss of crew/vehicle after second failure (loss of PRI and B/U) due to loss of good RF guidance signals at the Orbiter.

DISPOSTION AND RATIONALE:

(A) DESIGN (B) TEST (C) INSPECTION (D) FAILURE HISTORY (E) OPERATIONAL USE

(A) - <u>DESIGN</u>
The MSBIS design was structured from existing/proven ground-based landing systems and upgraded to meet MIL-E-4158, MIL-STD-454 and all subsidiary specifications in effect at the time of manufacture. Military and standard NASA approved parts, materials and processes were used.

The design evolved from a timely and in-depth internal design review process culminating in an optimum reliability/maintainability/performance end-item product. The design review process included studies such as FMFA, electrical and thermal analysis, sneak circuit analysis, worst case studies, tolerance analysis, etc. which resulted in direct impact of the design.

The design was approved via the formal NASA-CSD FDR, CDR, FCA and certification process.

(B) - TEST
The MSBLS program consists of an equipment confidence build-up approach starting from 100% screening of components (burn-in and environmental test). Environmental testing of SRU's and 100% temperature/vibration tests at the IRU and equipment rack-level.

In plant ATP for functional performance verification and workmanship will be performed and witnessed by CSD, NASA and DCAS on all IRUs and again at system level.

Site testing and certification will be performed on each system after installation. Annual flight tests are conducted to demonstrate continued system compatibility.

Ground Turnaround Test - Verify operation of the MSBIS Ground Station prior to each Orbiter landing.

SUBSYSTEM: GROUND STATION - MSBLS FMEA NO.: 05-25W-00017 Rev: 9 April 90 SUP: 17 Oct 88

(C) - INSPECTION

Receiving Inspection

Receiving inspection verifies all incoming parts and materials, including the performance of visual and dimensional examinations. All electrical, mechanical and raw material records that certify materials and physical properties per drawing/specification requirements are retained by receiving inspection as required by contract.

Assembly/Installation

All detailed inspections are planned out by the methodization department for all new builds, spares and repairs for the MSBLS Programs. Inspection points are designated to permit inspection before the applicable portions of the assembly become inaccessible and prior to the next assembly operation.

Critical Processes

All processes and certifications are monitored and verified by inspection. The critical processes are soldering, conformal coating, torquing and boresiting, application of adhesives/sealants and application of chemical film.

Techina

All parts of the ATP are observed and verified by QA.

Handling/Packaging

All parts and assemblies are protected from damage or contamination from the point of receiving inspection to final shipment, through methods detailed in a documented procedure. This handling procedure is in effect for all newly built hardware as well as for repair units. QA audits conformance to this procedure in accordance with its internal audit schedule, and all areas are considered under continuous audit by QA with respect to material handling. The maintenance of electrostatic discharge prevention methods is verified by QA through periodic audits. All hardware items are packaged and protected according to contract requirements and are verified by inspection. Evidence of inspection of packaging is recorded on the applicable shipping document.

(D)- Failure History
All field and flight failures were reviewed and there have been no reported failures in the MSBIS-GS or MSBIS-JR alarm circuitry. This circuitry is identical to the current hardware configuration.

SUBSYSTEM: GROUND STATION - MSBLS FMFA NO.: 05-25W-00017 Rev: 9 April 90 SUP: 17 Oct 88

(E) - OPERATIONAL USE
For lower ceilings (8,000 to 10,000 feet) or night operations, redundant
MSBLS (single fault tolerance) is required for night landing on a
concrete runway. MSBLS is also mandatory for daylight landings on the
lakebed with reduced ceilings, but is not required to be redundant.
Deorbit is not attempted if the ceiling is less than 8,000 feet to ensure
good visibility at low altitude. If radar tracking data (available at
Edwards, KSC, and Northrop only) and ground communications are available,
the MCC can attempt to resolve a MSBLS dilemma. Remote control operators
are trained to evaluate system health and recognize probable failure
modes from the Remote Control Unit Display. The Remote Control Operators
will verify the back-up switching transition has occurred properly or
take action to force the system into back-up. The Remote Control Unit
Display is monitored to determine a malfunction and advise the chain of
command on the status.